



## 10 Most Significant Scholarly Contributions for Professor of Clinical X Candidates GUIDE

The 10-best selected scholarly contributions reflect your impact in advancing the practice of medicine.

### General Formatting

- Title the document “SELECTED CONTRIBUTIONS SUBMITTED BY DR. X”
- List the ten (and only ten) scholarly contributions using the same terminology and/or citation format as they appear in your CV. Generally, the contributions are expected to draw from one or more of the following sections: Report of Scholarship, Clinical Innovations, Report of Teaching and Education Innovations, Report of Technological and Other Scientific Innovations, Educational Material for Patients and the Lay Community.
- Number the citations 1-10, regardless of how they are numbered in your CV or whether you have sub-grouped them by topic. If not already associated with a time frame, provide the year(s) the contribution was relevant.

### Annotations

- Should be brief, typically 3-6 sentences in length
- Write in the first person.
- Describe the selection and impact of the contribution and your role and thought leadership in generating it. If you have selected an innovation from your CV, you may either use the same description or a more elaborated one that incorporates the guidance below.
- Bear in mind that not all reviewers will have expertise in your field.
- Ordinarily, contributions should be in a written format. For contributions in a format that is not feasible to be included in your dossier (e.g., devices, curricula), you may write an elaborated description without submitting the contribution itself.
- Annotations may be accompanied by:
  - References to editorials and articles that attest to the impact on the field
  - Accessible weblinks, visuals, and/or other information that reflect the content of the work and can be distributed with your dossier
  - Quantitative metrics that demonstrate impact and uptake (e.g., number of entities adopting a given work, number of learners, patient outcomes).
- For any format that may not be familiar to reviewers, please include some guidance language and if available, a reference to best practices or checklists to inform evaluation in that format.

### Factors to consider when selecting scholarly contributions

- The 10-best should complement the Statement of Impact with respect to listing work products that have themselves resulted in impact on the practice of medicine or are manifestations of a candidate’s impact and reputation.
- The impact on the practice of medicine should be relevant to one domain of contribution (knowledge synthesis/clinical application, educational leadership, academic medicine leadership, or service and leadership in health equity) and grounded on your clinical expertise and excellence.

*Updated August 2025*

- A small number (1-2) of selections may relate to Significant Supporting Activities, when relevant.
- The 10 contributions should reflect a trajectory of recent impact on advancing the practice of medicine; at least half of the selections should be from the time period after your promotion to associate professor or within the past 7-8 years, whichever date is more recent.
- For publications, at least half of the selections should reflect your thought leadership through a major authorship role, but you may also include middle author scholarly works accompanied by a statement about the context of your contribution and rationale for how your impact on practice in your area is demonstrated through this role (e.g., your role in team-based work, your leadership of research capacity-building).

### **Types of contributions that may be included:**

- Peer-reviewed or non-peer-reviewed written works relevant to and supporting evidence of impact in advancing the practice of medicine.
  - Clinical guidelines, consensus statements, clinical manuals, and/or protocols for patient management or delivery of care published or widely distributed by a professional society
  - Syntheses or clinically oriented reviews published in peer-reviewed journals or as chapters in high impact edited volumes or other curated collections
  - Editorials, commentaries, or perspectives pieces with relevance to the practice of medicine
  - Policy papers influencing clinical practice, health or other relevant policy, or legislation
  - Accepted patent applications for devices used in clinical practice
  - Peer-reviewed publications presenting clinically oriented original research may be included if relevant to your impact in advancing the practice of medicine, but are not required
- Descriptions of curricula, programs, methods, or technologies developed by the candidate that have demonstrated impact on learners, patients, communities, or practices; where relevant, include accompanying materials as described above. Examples include contributions led by the candidate that:
  - Improve health care quality, access, and delivery
  - Feature innovation in classroom or clinical teaching methods with adoption and broad uptake and impact on a national, and in many cases, international level
  - Represent clinical practice that is a model nationally
  - Create pathways to advance the careers of health professionals from different backgrounds and experiences
  - Integrate service learning, clinical expertise, and/or address social determinants of health to reduce health disparities in underserved communities
  - Serve the health needs of, raising the quality of health delivery for, and improving the health of socially and/or economically marginalized, or other underserved populations
  - Result in transformative capacity building, health systems strengthening, and/or high-impact health delivery
  - Leverage technology to advance the practice of medicine, such as digital platforms, procedural techniques, and training simulators